Scientific
The workshop aimed at kickstarting a small community of committed researchers willing to contribute to the scientific foundations of effective tools for digital democracy.

This central community-building aim was met beyond our expectations. The final structure of the workshop has been as follows:

- One ice-breaking session consisting of 1-slide 1-minute presentation per participant.
- Five plenary sessions (one of which via Zoom from the US) took place earlier in the week. A sixth one had to be cancelled due to the invited participant not being able to join.
- Six parallel work-groups worked throughout the week, with most time available towards the middle and end of the week.
- Plenary reporting sessions took place on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday.

The work-groups in particular worked extremely well throughout the week and allowed the community to mix-up effectively cutting across disciplinary areas as well as directly involving practitioners.

We achieved the following concrete outcomes:

- Each of the six working groups produced a draft document, all of which we are currently using during our NIAS fellowship to produce the planned manifesto paper.
- A group of participants organized themselves to launch an online seminar series (4-6 talks per year are being planned) to maintain the momentum of the workshop.
- One of the work-groups is preparing a paper submission to an international AI conference based on their discussions during the working session.

Organization
We found that the final program we came up with, with the collaboration of the Lorentz team, has worked extremely well. The key features that we think made this positive difference (for instance with respect to other Lorentz workshop we participated to) have been:

- The 1-slide 1-minute presentations on the first day
- A well thought-through preparation of the working sessions, for which we elicited information from participants ahead of the start of the workshop (via this Miro board: https://miro.com/app/board/uXjVPnkkX3s=/)
- The positioning of plenary talks more heavily towards the first half of the week, leaving extensive time for group interaction in the second half of the week.

The meeting was in person. In addition, we used Zoom to support participation from outside the Lorentz center and Miro to prepare the working sessions. Reporting by the work-groups was done on Overleaf or Googledoc.

This was the most successful Lorentz center workshop we have ever attended (some of us have attended/organized several such workshops).

Lorentz Center (virtual) Support
The Lorentz Center Team has been exemplary throughout: in competence, dependability and smoothness of interaction. Thanks for your support!
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